

Journal of Clinical and Translational Research



Journal homepage: http://www.jctres.com/en/home

# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Efficacy and safety of vonoprazan as a component of the first- and second-line eradication regimens for *Helicobacter pylori*: a real-life Egyptian study

Abdelfattah Hanno<sup>1</sup>, Magdy El-Dahshan<sup>2</sup>, Tarek Youssef<sup>3</sup>, Ali Farag<sup>4</sup>, Hussein Elamin<sup>5</sup>, Magdi Hamed<sup>6</sup>, Sherief Abdel-Salam<sup>7</sup>, Ehab Abdelatty<sup>8</sup>, Eman Abdelsameea<sup>9\*</sup>, Yasser Fouad<sup>10</sup>, Shamardan Ezz-Eldin<sup>11</sup>, Waleed A. Abd El Dayem<sup>12</sup>, Ebada Said<sup>13</sup>, Mohamed S. Ahmed<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Tropical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt, <sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Hepatogastroenterology Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Al-Azhar University, Cairo, Egypt, <sup>3</sup>Department of Internal Medicine and Hepatogastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt, <sup>4</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt, <sup>5</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt, <sup>6</sup>Department of Hepatogastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt, <sup>7</sup>Department Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Gharbia, Egypt, <sup>8</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Hepatology and Gastroenterology Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Menofia University, Menofia, Egypt, <sup>9</sup>Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology, National Liver Institute, Menoufia University, Shebin El-Kom, Egypt, <sup>10</sup>Department of Tropical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Minia University, Minia, Egypt, <sup>11</sup>Department of Tropical Medicine and Gastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine, South Vally University, Qena, Egypt, <sup>12</sup>Hepatogastroenterology and Infectious Disease Department, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, Sharkia, Egypt, <sup>13</sup>Department of Hepatology, Gastroenterology and Infectious Diseases, Benha University, Qalyubia, Egypt

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: July 13, 2024 Accepted: October 25, 2024 Published Online: November 26, 2024

Keywords: Vonoprazan Proton-pump inhibitor Helicobacter pylori

\*Corresponding author: Eman Abdelsameea Department of Hepatology and Gastroenterology, National Liver Institute, Menoufia University, Shebin El-Kom, Egypt. Email: eabdelsameea@liver-eg.org

© 2024 Author(s). This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial License, permitting all non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Vonoprazan, a new potassium competitive acid blocker, offers a rapid onset of action and a predictable antisecretory profile that is independent of the CYP2C19 genotype or parietal cell activity. This profile may enhance *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy.

Aim: This research compared vonoprazan and proton-pump inhibitors (PPI) in Egypt's first- and second-line *H. pylori* eradication regimens for effectiveness, safety, and tolerability.

**Methods:** A prospective, controlled, multicenter, parallel-assignment, and open-label study was designed to verify the superiority of vonoprazan versus PPI in first-line therapy (with amoxicillin and clarithromycin) or second-line therapy (with levofloxacin, doxycycline, and nitazoxanide) for *H. pylori* eradication. Patients received either vonoprazan- or PPI-based regimens for 14 days followed by a 4-week follow-up period. The primary efficacy endpoint is the rate of first-line eradication, while the secondary endpoint is the rate of second-line eradication among individuals who did not respond to first-line treatment. Safety and tolerability of both first- and second-line treatments were also assessed.

**Results:** Of the 1184 patients allocated to the study, 701 naïve patients received first-line therapy (355 patients received a triple vonoprazan-based regimen; 346 patients received triple PPI-based regimen), and 483 experienced patients received the second-line therapy (243 patients received quadrable vonoprazan-based regimen and 240 patients received quadrable PPI-based regimen). The first-line eradication rate was 91% in vonoprazan triple therapy versus 74.6% in PPI triple therapy (P < 0.001). The second-line eradication rate was 89.7% in vonoprazan quadrable therapy versus 78.3% in PPI quadrable therapy (P < 0.001). Both first- and second-line therapies were well tolerated with no remarkable adverse events or safety outcomes.

**Conclusion:** In both naive and experienced patients, vonoprazan-based treatment was statistically and substantially superior to omeprazole-based therapy in eradicating *H. pylori*.

**Relevance for Patients:** This work offers a promising approach for the treatment of Egyptian patients with *H. pylori* infection.

## 1. Introduction

*Helicobacter pylori*, a Gram-negative, microaerobic human pathogen, is linked to non-cardia stomach cancer, chronic active gastritis, peptic ulcer disease (PUD), atrophic gastritis, and mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma. *H. pylori* infects almost half of the adult population worldwide, though it is prevalent across geographies, races, ages, and socioeconomic groups [1,2].

Most of those infected with *H. pylori* will develop either gastric (70 - 90%) or duodenal (90%) ulcers [3]. However, some with *H. pylori* infection remain asymptomatic [4].

Non-cardiac stomach cancer is the third most frequent cause of cancer deaths worldwide, with *H. pylori* responsible for 74.7% of cases. *H. pylori* infection remains a critical issue, contributing to stomach cancer and ulcers, which together cause over a million deaths worldwide annually [1].

Screening and treatment are needed for active *H. pylori* infections. Individuals with ongoing or a history of PUD (unless previously treated), low-grade MALT lymphoma, endoscopic excision of early gastric cancer, or individuals under 60 years old with unexplained dyspepsia and no warning symptoms should be tested for *H. pylori* [5].

*H. pylori* diagnosis requires tests with >90% sensitivity and specificity. Managing numerous gastroduodenal disorders requires accurate *H. pylori* diagnosis. Histological, culture, and fast urease tests require endoscopy and biopsy, whereas serology, urea breath test, and stool antigen detection do not [1].

A global meta-analysis found the stool antigen test (SAT) to be 94% sensitive and 97% specific for *H. pylori* infection. This method detected *H. pylori* antigen in feces. Antibiotics, proton-pump inhibitors (PPI), N-acetylcysteine, diarrhea, and gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding can impact SAT accuracy [1].

Polyclonal antibodies and monoclonal antibodies are utilized in either enzyme immunoassay (EIA)- or immunochromatography-based SATs for *H. pylori* detection. The monoclonal SAT is a quick, painless, and accurate way to determine if you have a current *H. pylori* infection. The EIA test, which detects anti-*H. pylori* IgG antibodies, is the most common and effective serological test for *H. pylori* [1].

Serological tests are unaffected by ulcers, bleeding, stomach atrophy, PPIs, or antibiotics, unlike other invasive and noninvasive investigations. Due to their low cost, speed, and patient acceptability, serological tests have been routinely employed as a screening tool in epidemiological investigations. Even after effective eradication, antibody levels in the blood can remain elevated for extended durations, making the serological test an unreliable method of evaluation [6].

*H. pylori* is an infectious disease treated with 2-3 antibiotics and PPI for 3-14 days [4]. Increasing intragastric pH with a PPI and two antibiotics eliminates *H. pylori*; when the intragastric pH exceeds 5, *H. pylori* can grow and become more antibiotic-sensitive [7,8].

A rise in antibiotic-resistant genotypes of *H. pylori* has contributed to a decline in recent *H. pylori* eradication rates, despite the continued use of conventional PPIs to inhibit gastric acid secretion [9].

The fast evolution of antibiotic-resistant *H. pylori* strains has a significant impact on the efficacy of eradication treatment. Antibiotic resistance is an ever-changing process, and the incidence of *H. pylori* antibiotic resistance varies greatly between countries, and even in areas within the same country [10]. The most recent agreement for *H. pylori* care in Egypt suggested the same first- and second-line medications as the worldwide recommendations [11]; however, numerous studies recommend identifying *H. pylori* antibiotic resistance.

Metwally *et al.* [12] mentioned that in Egyptian patients, *H. pylori* demonstrated more than 90% resistance to metronidazole and amoxicillin (AMO); minor resistance to erythromycin, azithromycin, and clarithromycin (CLA); and low resistance to moxifloxacin and levofloxacin ( $\leq$ 20%). Dual resistance was high for AMO/CLA and AMO/metronidazole, indicating that quinolones are preferred over CLA or metronidazole for first-line *H. pylori* therapy in Egypt.

To enhance eradication efficiency, antibiotics have been changed, and PPI doses have been increased. The increased rate of eradication promotes the production of potassium competitive acid blockers (P-CABs), a chemical that decreases acid output [13].

Similar to PPIs, the new oral P-CAB vonoprazan inhibits gastric  $H^+$ - $K^+$ -ATPase, the enzyme responsible for the final step of stomach acid production [14]. In contrast to PPIs, vonoprazan inhibits the enzyme in a  $K^+$ -competitive and reversible manner [15].

To effectively eradicate an *H. pylori* infection, nighttime stomach acid suppression must be maintained over an extended period. The optimal pH range when the organism is growing and sensitive to antibiotics (e.g., CLA and AMO) is 6 - 7 [16]. Acid suppression achieved by currently available PPIs is often insufficient, both in terms of magnitude and duration, to reach this level throughout the entire 24-h period. However, P-CABs are most effective when used in conjunction with one or more antimicrobial drugs, due to their unique pharmacological profile [17,18].

There is no correlation between CYP2C19 genotype and parietal cell activation, and P-CABs have a rapid onset of action and a predictable anti-secretory profile. In particular, this profile has the potential to simplify complex eradication regimens and pave the way for the development of highly effective dual therapy, both of which would lead to better *H. pylori* treatment management [18,19].

Furthermore, research has demonstrated that vonoprazan (pKa: 9.4) accumulates in parietal cells and that the pH of the surrounding environment has little impact on its acid-inhibitory effect [20,21]. Vonoprazan administered in multiple doses (10 - 40 mg/day) for 7 days in healthy volunteers maintained the dose-dependent, potent, and rapid acid inhibitory effects observed at 24 h compared to single doses (10 - 20 mg) [22,23]. Vonoprazan is likely to be as effective as PPIs in *H. pylori* treatment due to its stronger acid inhibition [24].

Here, this study aimed to assess the effectiveness, safety, and tolerability of vonoprazan in combination with PPI in Egyptian patients for the treatment of *H. pylori*.

## 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Study design

This was a prospective, controlled, multicenter, parallelassignment, and open-label study involving patients selected from the gastroenterology and/or tropical medicine departments, as well as inpatient and outpatient clinics, from 12 university centers across Egypt (i.e., Faculty of Medicine of Alexandria University, Al-Azhar University, Ain Shams University, Cairo University, Assiut University, Mansoura University, Tanta University, Minia University, South Valley University, Zagazig University, Benha University; Faculty of Medicine and National Liver Institute of Menoufia University). The study was conducted from January 1, 2022, to June 30, 2022.

The inclusion criteria were patients (i) above 18 years of matched age and sex, and (ii) provided written informed consent before study participation. The exclusion criteria were patients (i) with known allergy to any of the treatment drugs; (ii) refused to sign an informed consent; (iii) had surgery that might affect gastric acid secretion (upper GI resection or vagotomy), Zollinger–Ellison syndrome, or other gastric acid hypersecretion disorders; (iv) had serious neurological, cardiovascular, pulmonary, hepatic, renal, metabolic, GI, urological, endocrinological, or hematological disorders; (v) need surgery, history of drug (including alcohol) abuse, history of malignancy, and female subjects who are pregnant or lactating; (vi) pregnancy or planning for pregnancy during the study period; and (vii) on PPIs, P-CABs, and/or antibiotics within 1 month before inclusion in the study.

Patients who fulfilled the study inclusion criteria were allocated to one group of study as follows:

- (i) Group-I: Naïve patients (patients who did not receive any prior *H. pylori* eradication regimens)
  - Arm 1: Patients received vonoprazan triple therapy: CLA 500 mg twice daily (bis in die [BID]) + AMO 1 g BID + vonoprazan 20 mg BID for 14 days
  - Arm 2: Patients received the classic triple therapy: CLA 500 mg BID + AMO 1 g BID + PPI "omeprazole 40 mg" BID for 14 days
- (ii) Group-II: Non-responders (patients who did not respond to the previous first-line eradication regimen):
  - Arm 1: Patients received vonoprazan-based nonbismuth quadruple therapy: levofloxacin 500 mg once daily (OD) + vonoprazan 20 mg BID + nitazoxanide 500 mg BID + doxycycline 100 mg OD for 14 days
  - Arm 2: Patients received the classic non-bismuth quadruple therapy: Levofloxacin 500 mg OD + PPI "omeprazole 40 mg" BID + nitazoxanide 500 mg BID + doxycycline 100 mg OD) for 14 days.

# 2.2. Data collection

A total of 1200 patients were enrolled at the beginning of the study and were further divided into two equal-sized groups, each containing 600 subjects. The subjects were blindly allocated to vonoprazan and traditional PPI therapies. At the end of the study, two patients from the vonoprazan group did not complete the study, and 14 patients from the PPI group voluntarily stopped the drug regimen and did not complete the study.

The final sample size was 1184 patients, and they were divided accordingly in the study: 701 naïve patients received first-line therapy (355 patients received a triple vonoprazanbased regimen, and 346 patients received a triple PPI-based regimen), and 483 experienced patients received the secondline therapy (243 patients received quadrable vonoprazanbased regimen, and 240 patients received quadrable PPI-based regimen). After recruitment and allocation, all patients were subjected to the following:

- (i) Full history and complete clinical examination: stool analysis, urine, complete blood count, *H. pylori* antigen (Ag) in stool (quantitative assay), and serum creatinine.
- (ii) The presence of *H. pylori* was confirmed by the *H. pylori* SAT before study treatment administration and 4 weeks after the treatment regimen; antibiotics and acid-suppressive therapies were discontinued 2 weeks before doing the test.

During follow-ups, the patients were contacted by telephone after 1 week of starting the regimen to check compliance. The first follow-up visit was after completing 2 weeks of treatment to register any adverse events. The second follow-up visit was after completing 4 weeks of the treatment regimen to register the eradication results.

The primary purpose of the trial was to increase the rate of firstline *H. pylori* elimination. The second endpoint was the rate of H. pylori elimination in those who failed the first line of therapy.

The incidence of treatment-emergent adverse events was recorded. The principal investigator supervised the assessment of the safety of triple and quadrable therapy for *H. pylori* eradication in the local population.

#### 2.3. Statistical analysis

The sample size was calculated using Power Analysis and Sample Size software (PASS 2020; ncss.com/software/pass; NCSS, LLC., USA). The minimal total hypothesized sample size of 800 eligible patients (400 per group) is required to compare the efficacy of vonoprazan and PPI in Egypt's first- and second-line *H. pylori* eradication regimens. This calculation assumes a 25% effect size (i.e., a minimally clinically important difference), a 95% confidence level, a 1:1 compliance ratio, and 80% power, using the Chi-square test [25,26]. The sample size was estimated based on the formula:

Sample size 
$$(n) = N \times \frac{\left[\frac{Z2 \times p \times (1-p)}{e^2}\right]}{N-1+\left[\frac{Z2 \times p \times (1-p)}{e^2}\right]}$$
 (I)

Where N is the population size, Z is the critical value of the normal distribution at the required confidence level, p is the sample proportion, and e is the margin of error.

Data were fed to the computer and analyzed using IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software version 20.0 (IBM Corp., USA). A Chi-square test was applied to compare

different categories. The significance of the obtained results was judged at the 5% level.

## 3. Results

Table 1 presents the age of the participants; most participants (33.3%) aged 20-30 years, while the smallest percentage (0.3%) aged over 70 years. Moreover, female participants slightly outnumbered male participants (50.3% vs. 49.7%, respectively). There were also more naïve patients than experienced patients (58.5% vs. 41.5%, respectively).

Table 2 indicates that vonoprazan-based treatment outperformed omeprazole-based therapy in eradicating *H. pylori* in both naïve (P < 0.001) and experienced (P = 0.001) patients. However, vonoprazan-based treatment did not significantly vary between naïve and experienced groups ( $p_0 = 0.504$ ).

Table 3 documents the adverse events experienced by patients. From the 598 vonoprazan-treated patients, five reported nausea/vomiting, ten with diarrhea, eight with constipation, two with bloating, and six with rashes, but none of them were severe.

Table 4 shows different regimens for previous Helicobacter pylori treatment. Among the 483 experienced patients, 119 (24.64%) of them received PPI, amoxicillin and clarithromycin, and 154 (31.88%) patients received PPI, amoxicillin and quinolones.

#### 4. Discussion

Vonoprazan was just recently brought to the Egyptian market in early 2022, though it has been available in Japan and a few other nations since 2015. This is the first multicentric Egyptian study of vonoprazan triple therapy for *H. pylori*.

Standard triple treatment (STT) with PPIs, AMO, and CLA is unsuccessful in many countries due to *H. pylori* developing CLA resistance. Four-drug combinations, such as bismuth-containing quadruple therapy (BQT) or concurrent quadruple therapy, are first-line therapies for such diseases [27,28].

In previous studies, the eradication rates were 55 - 72% for STT within 7 days [25,29], 80 - 95% for BQT [30,31], and 86 - 91% for concomitant quadruple therapy (CQT) [32] as first-

**Table 1.** Distribution of the studied cases according to different parameters (n=1184)

| Parameter    | Number of patients (%) |                              |                               | Test of significance  | P-value |
|--------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
|              | Total (n=1184) (%)     | Group I ( <i>n</i> =586) (%) | Group II ( <i>n</i> =598) (%) |                       |         |
| Age (years)  |                        |                              |                               |                       |         |
| 20 - 30      | 394 (33.3)             | 203 (34.6)                   | 191 (31.9)                    | χ <sup>2</sup> =7.934 | 0.160   |
| 31 - 40      | 353 (29.8)             | 179 (30.5)                   | 174 (29.1)                    |                       |         |
| 41 - 50      | 236 (19.9)             | 120 (20.5)                   | 116 (19.4)                    |                       |         |
| 51 - 60      | 149 (12.6)             | 63 (10.8)                    | 86 (14.4)                     |                       |         |
| 61 - 70      | 49 (4.1)               | 21 (3.6)                     | 28 (4.7)                      |                       |         |
| >70          | 3 (0.3)                | 0 (0)                        | 3 (0.5)                       |                       |         |
| Sex          |                        |                              |                               |                       |         |
| Male         | 589 (49.7)             | 300 (51.2)                   | 289 (48.3)                    | χ²=0.973              | 0.324   |
| Female       | 595 (50.3)             | 286 (48.8)                   | 309 (51.0)                    |                       |         |
| Weight (kg)  |                        |                              |                               |                       |         |
| Min-Max      | 69.0 - 121.0           | 69.0 - 121.0                 | 69.0 - 121.0                  | <i>t</i> =0.672       | 0.502   |
| Mean±SD      | 92.13±15.0             | 92.72±14.84                  | 92.13±15.0                    |                       |         |
| Median (IQR) | 95.0 (78 - 105)        | 96.0 (78 - 105)              | 95.0 (78 - 105)               |                       |         |
| Height (cm)  |                        |                              |                               |                       |         |
| Min–Max      | 150.0 - 188.0          | 150.0 - 188.0                | 150.0 - 188.0                 | <i>t</i> =0.034       | 0.973   |
| Mean±SD      | 170.06±10.67           | 170.0±10.59                  | 170.1±10.67                   |                       |         |
| Median (IQR) | 172.0 (161 - 180)      | 172.0 (161 - 179)            | 172.0 (161 – 180)             |                       |         |

 $\chi^2$  refers to the Chi-square test; t refers to Student's t-test; p refers to the p-value obtained from comparing groups I and II. Abbreviations: SD: Standard deviation; IQR: Interquartile range

| Table 2. Comparison between | omeprazole- and vonor | prazan-based therapy in na | ive and experienced patients |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
|                             |                       |                            |                              |

| Patients               | Number of patie | patients (%)   | Р        | $p_0$      | 0          |
|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|------------|------------|
|                        | Omeprazole (%)  | Vonoprazan (%) |          | Omeprazole | Vonoprazan |
| Naïve ( <i>n</i> =701) |                 |                |          | 0.293      | 0.504      |
| Positive               | 88 (25.4)       | 32 (9.0)       | < 0.001* |            |            |
| Negative               | 258 (74.6)      | 323 (91.0)     |          |            |            |
| Experienced (n=483)    |                 |                |          |            |            |
| Positive               | 52 (21.7)       | 25 (10.3)      | 0.001*   |            |            |
| Negative               | 188 (78.3)      | 218 (89.7)     |          |            |            |

"Positive" and "Negative" refer to the drug effect experienced by the patients; P denotes P-value from the Chi-square test comparing omeprazole- and vonoprazan-based therapy;  $p_0$  denotes P-value from the Chi-square test comparing naïve and experienced patients; \*denotes statistical significance at P < 0.05

**Table 3.** Adverse events of vonoprazan-based triple therapy versus conventional proton-pump inhibitor (PPI)-based triple therapy

| Adverse effect      | Number of patients (%)             |  |  |  |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|                     | Vonoprazan<br>( <i>n</i> =598) (%) | Conventional<br>PPI ( <i>n</i> =586) (%) |  |  |
| Rash                | 6 (1.003)                          | 7 (1.19)                                 |  |  |
| Constipation        | 8 (1.33)                           | 9 (1.5)                                  |  |  |
| Diarrhea            | 10 (1.6)                           | 11 (1.8)                                 |  |  |
| Nausea and vomiting | 5 (0.8)                            | 3 (0.5)                                  |  |  |
| Bloating            | 2 (0.3)                            | 1 (0.17)                                 |  |  |

| Table 4. Regimen for previous He | <i>licobacter pylori</i> treatment ( <i>n</i> =483) |
|----------------------------------|---|
|----------------------------------|---|

| Regimen                                     | Number of patients (%) |
|---|------------------------|
| PPI+amoxicillin+clarithromycin              | 119 (24.64)            |
| PPI+amoxicillin+quinolones                  | 154 (31.88)            |
| PPI+amoxicillin+metronidazole               | 117 (24.22)            |
| PPI+amoxicillin+nitazoxanide+clarithromycin | 93 (19.26)             |

Abbreviation: PPI: Proton-pump inhibitor

line *H. pylori* eradication treatments. The vonoprazan, AMO, and CLA triple treatment had eradication rates equivalent to the BQT and CQT and higher than the 7-day STT rate in this study.

We found that vonoprazan-based triple treatment had a higher eradication rate than PPI-based triple therapy as a first-line regimen in naïve patients (91% vs. 74.6%) and as rescue therapy in experienced patients (89.7% vs. 78.3%) in this study.

Consistent with previous findings, vonoprazan-based triple therapy achieved eradication rates exceeding 90%, while PPI-based triple therapy achieved rates of 80% [33]. The triple therapy that includes vonoprazan is preferable because its acid-inhibitory effect is more rapid, potent, and stable [34], and its pharmacokinetic features are not affected by CYP2C19 polymorphism [23].

Dual vonoprazan-AMO and CLA triple treatment eradication rates may be high because the strain infecting participants was not AMO resistant. Despite AMO resistance being lower than other antibiotics, it is often overlooked in *H. pylori* treatments. AMO resistance rates are 38% in Africa, 14% in the Eastern Mediterranean, 12% in Southeast Asia, 8% in the Americas, and 0 - 1% in Europe and the Western Pacific, though it varies significantly in other regions [35].

After 7 days of triple treatment, high-dose PPIs eliminated *H. pylori* infection more effectively compared to conventional doses (82% vs. 74%; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.01-1.17) in a previous meta-analysis [36]. Increased stomach pH may cause *H. pylori* to re-replicate and become antibiotic-sensitive [9]. If CLA resistance is >15%, many guidelines recommend avoiding PPI-CLA triple therapy [37]. Notably, 40% of patients in Egypt are resistant to CLA [12].

However, PPI-CLA triple therapy is frequently employed in the absence of CLA susceptibility testing, as empirical treatment is less time-consuming and less expensive than testing. Vonoprazan-CLA triple treatment eliminated CLA resistance more effectively than PPI-CLA triple therapy (82.0% vs. 40.0%; 95% CI: 3.63 - 12.86), demonstrating an acceptable eradication rate (i.e., >80%) [38]. When there is no CLA susceptibility test, vonoprazan-CLA triple therapy may be advised empirically. For 14 days, we compared vonoprazan-AMO-CLA treatment to PPI-based therapy for naïve patients and evaluated vonoprazan, nitazoxanide, levofloxacin, and doxycycline to a comparable PPI regimen for experienced patients. Our findings were similar to Mahrous *et al.*, who did a similar study on Egyptian patients and compared the effects of vonoprazan, although with a smaller number of patients, included only one center, and used metronidazole instead of CLA in the naïve patients [39].

Our study included only adult participants and did not assess the effect of the drug in children with *H. pylori*. In addition, only three patients over 70 years old were included; hence, further studies are warranted to confirm the efficacy of vonoprazan in children and older adults, especially those with multiple medications and comorbidities.

The drug was well tolerated, with diarrhea being the most reported side effect. This may be linked to the use of CLA, which is known to stimulate GI motility. This finding contrasts with Furuta *et al.*, who reported a higher incidence of diarrhea and other side effects with vonoprazan, potentially due to ethnic differences [40].

Nonetheless, this study had limitations, with the primary challenge being how to effectively communicate with the large number of patients and ensure their adherence and compliance to the treatment regimen.

## 5. Conclusion

In both naive and experienced patients, vonoprazan-based treatment was statistically substantially superior to omeprazolebased therapy in eradicating *H. pylori*. However, the effectiveness of vonoprazan-based treatment was not significantly different between naïve and experienced groups. Therefore, it appears to be a potentially safe and effective *H. pylori* treatment for our Egyptian patients, who tolerated it with few adverse effects.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all of the patients who participated in this research, the American Journal Experts for copyediting this manuscript, and the Inspire Pharmaceutical Company for funding this study.

## Funding

This study was funded by Inspire Pharmaceutical Company (grant number 17501), which provided free samples during the study period without interfering with the study results.

## **Conflict of Interest**

There is a financial conflict of interest with the funder Inspire Pharmaceutical Company.

# **Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate**

This study was approved by Alexandria University and was conducted in line with ethical principles. Moreover, the study protocol adheres to the ethical principles outlined in the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. The trial was retrospectively registered on October 20, 2022; the trial registration serial number is 0305757, and the reference number is FWA NO: 00018699. Each participant's consent was acquired in advance. Patients provided written informed consent before participating in this research.

# **Consent for Publication**

Patients who took part in this study agreed to publish their data.

# Availability of Data

The materials supporting the study's results are included in the article. Additional information is accessible through the following link: https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1m9wImunKzTJIV5H8zx1D3-\_PYh1KsJ4L?usp=sharing

# References

 Wang YK, Kuo FC, Liu CJ, Wu MC, Shih HY, Wang SS, et al. Diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori* infection: Current options and developments. World J Gastroenterol 2015;21:11221-35.

doi: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i40.11221

[2] Malfertheiner P, Megraud F, Rokkas T, Gisbert JP, Liou JM, Schulz C, *et al.* Management of *Helicobacter pylori* infection: The maastricht VI/florence consensus report. Gut 2022;71:1724-62.

doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2022-327745

- [3] Nordestgaard MA, Spiegelhauer RM, Frandsen HT, GrenC, StauningAT, Andersen PL. Clinical manifestations of the Epsilonproteobacteria (*Helicobacter pylori*). In: Roesler BM, editor. *Helicobacter Pylori*. London: IntechOpen; 2018. p. 21-32.
   doi: 10.5772/intechoner.80221
  - doi: 10.5772/intechopen.80331
- [4] Liou JM, Malfertheiner P, Lee Y, Sheu B, Sugano K, Cheng H, et al. Screening and eradication of *Helicobacter* pylori for gastric cancer prevention: The Taipei global consensus. Gut 2020;69:2093-112.

doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-322368

- [5] Chey WD, Leontiadis GI, Howden CW, Moss SF. ACG clinical guideline: Treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. Am J Gastroenterol 2017;112(2):212-39. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2016.563
- [6] Malfertheiner P, Megraud F, O'Morain CA, Atherton J, Axon AT, Bazzoli F, et al. Management of *Helicobacter* pylori infection--the maastricht IV/ florence consensus report. Gut 2012;61:646-64.

doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2012-302084

- [7] Sachs G, Meyer-Rosberg K, Scott DR, Melchers K. Acid, protons and *Helicobacter pylori*. Yale J Biol Med 1996;69:301-16.
- [8] Sugimoto M, Furuta T, Shirai N, Kodaira C, Nishino M, Ikuma M, *et al*. Evidence that the degree and duration

of acid suppression are related to *Helicobacter pylori* eradication by triple therapy. Helicobacter 2007;12:317-23.

doi: 10.1111/j.1523-5378.2007.00508.x

- [9] Graham DY, Fischbach L. *Helicobacter pylori* treatment in the era of increasing antibiotic resistance. Gut 2010;59(8):1143-53. doi: 10.1136/gut.2009.192757
- [10] Smith SM, O'Morain C, McNamara D. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing for Helicobacter pylori in times of increasing antibiotic resistance. World J Gastroenterol 2014;20:9912-21.

doi: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i29.9912

- [11] Alboraie M, Elhossary W, Aly OA, Abbas B, Abdelsalam L, Ghaith D, *et al*. Egyptian recommendations for management of *Helicobacter pylori* infection: 2018 report. Arab J Gastroenterol 2019;20:175-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ajg.2019.09.001
- [12] Metwally M, Ragab R, Abdel Hamid HS, Emara N, Elkholy H. *Helicobacterpylori* antibiotic resistance in Egypt: A single-center study. Infect Drug Resist 2022;15:5905-13.

doi: 10.2147/IDR.S386082

[13] Murakami K, Furuta T, Ando T, Nakajima T, Inui Y, Oshima T, et al. Multi-center randomized controlled study to establish the standard third-line regimen for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in Japan. J Gastroenterol 2013;48:1128-35.

doi: 10.1007/s00535-012-0731-8

[14] Shin JM, Inatomi N, Munson K, Strugatsky D, Tokhtaeva E, Vagin O, *et al.* Characterization of a novel potassium-competitive acid blocker of the gastric H, K-ATPase, 1-[5-(2-fluorophenyl)-1-(pyridin-3-ylsulfonyl)-1H-pyrrol-3-yl]-N-methylmethanamine monofumarate (TAK-438). J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2011;339:412-20.

doi: 10.1124/jpet.111.185314

[15] Hori Y, Imanishi A, Matsukawa J, Tsukimi Y, Nishida H, Arikawa Y, et al. 1-[5-(2-fluorophenyl)-1-(pyridin-3ylsulfonyl)-1H-pyrrol-3-yl]-N-methylmethanamine monofumarate (TAK-438), a novel and potent potassiumcompetitive acid blocker for the treatment of acid-related diseases. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2010;335:231-8. doi: 10.1124/jpet.110.170274

[16] Scott D, Weeks D, Melchers K, Sachs G. The life and death of *Helicobacter pylori*. Gut 1998;43 Suppl 1:S56-60.

doi: 10.1136/gut.43.2008.s56

[17] Hunt RH, Scarpignato C. Potassium-competitive acid blockers (P-CABs): Are they finally ready for prime time in acid-related disease? Clin Transl Gastroenterol 2015;6:e119.

doi: 10.1038/ctg.2015.39

- Scarpignato C, Hunt RH. Acid suppressant therapy: A step forward with potassium-competitive acid blockers. Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol 2021;19:94-132. doi: 10.1007/s11938-020-00330-x
- [19] Graham DY, Lu H, Shiotani A. Vonoprazan-containing *Helicobacter pylori* triple therapies contribution to global antimicrobial resistance. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;36:1159-63.

doi: 10.1111/jgh.15252

- [20] Matsukawa J, Hori Y, Nishida H, Kajino M, Inatomi N. A comparative study on the modes of action of TAK-438, a novel potassium-competitive acid blocker, and lansoprazole in primary cultured rabbit gastric glands. Biochem Pharmacol 2011;81:1145-51. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2011.02.009
- [21] Hori Y, Matsukawa J, Takeuchi T, Nishida H, Kajino M, Inatomi N. A study comparing the antisecretory effect of TAK-438, a novel potassium-competitive acid blocker, with lansoprazole in animals. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2011;337:797-804.

doi: 10.1124/jpet.111.179556

[22] Sakurai Y, Nishimura A, Kennedy G, Hibberd M, Jenkins R, Okamoto H, *et al.* Safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of single rising TAK-438 (Vonoprazan) doses in healthy male Japanese/non-Japanese subjects. Clin Transl Gastroenterol 2015;6:e94.

doi: 10.1038/ctg.2015.18

[23] Jenkins H, Sakurai Y, Nishimura A, Okamoto H, Hibberd M, Jenkins R, et al. Randomised clinical trial: Safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of repeated doses of TAK-438 (vonoprazan), a novel potassium-competitive acid blocker, in healthy male subjects. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2015;41:636-48.

doi: 10.1111/apt.13121

[24] Murakami K, Sakurai Y, Shiino M, Funao N, Nishimura A, Asaka M. Vonoprazan, a novel potassiumcompetitive acid blocker, as a component of first-line and second-line triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication: A phase III, randomised, double-blind study. Gut 2016;65:1439-46.

doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2015-311304

[25] Malfertheiner P, Bazzoli F, Delchier JC, Celiñski K, Giguère M, Rivière M, et al. Helicobacter pylori eradication with a capsule containing bismuth subcitrate potassium, metronidazole, and tetracycline given with omeprazole versus clarithromycin-based triple therapy: A randomised, open-label, non-inferiority, phase 3 trial. Lancet 2011;377:905-13.

doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60020-2

[26] Muralidharan K. On sample size determination. Math J Interdiscip Sci 2014;3:55-64. doi: 10.15415/mjis.2014.31005

[27] Fallone CA, Chiba N, Van Zanten SV, Fischbach L, Gisbert JP, Hunt RH, et al. The toronto consensus for the treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in adults. Gastroenterology 2016;151:51-69.

doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.04.006

- [28] Malfertheiner P, Megraud F, O'Morain CA, Gisbert JP, Kuipers E, Axon A, et al. Management of Helicobacter pylori infection-the Maastricht V/florence consensus report. Gut 2017;66:6-30. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312288
- [29] Kim BJ, Yang CH, Song HJ, Jeon SW, Kim GH, Kim HS, et al. Online registry for nationwide database of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in Korea: Correlation of antibiotic use density with eradication success. Helicobacter 2019;24:e12646. doi: 10.1111/hel.12646

 [30] Liou JM, Fang YJ, Chen CC, Bair MJ, Chang CY, Lee YC, et al. Concomitant, bismuth quadruple, and 14-day triple therapy in the first-line treatment of *Helicobacter pylori*: A multicentre, open-label, randomised trial. Lancet 2016;388:2355-65.

doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31409-X

[31] Fiorini G, Zullo A, Saracino IM, Gatta L, Pavoni M, Vaira D. Pylera and sequential therapy for first-line *Helicobacter pylori* eradication: A culture-based study in real clinical practice. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018;30:621-5.

doi: 10.1097/MEG.000000000001102

[32] McNicholl AG, Marin AC, Molina-Infante J, Castro M, Barrio J, Ducons J, *et al.* Randomised clinical trial comparing sequential and concomitant therapies for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication in routine clinical practice. Gut 2014;63(2):244-9.

doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2013-304820

- [33] Ozaki H, Harada S, Takeuchi T, Kawaguchi S, Takahashi Y, Kojima Y, *et al.* Vonoprazan, a novel potassium-competitive acid blocker, should be used for the *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy as first choice: A large sample study of vonoprazan in real world compared with our randomized control trial using secondgeneration proton pump inhibitors for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy. Digestion 2018;97(3):212-8. doi: 10.1159/000485097
- [34] Sakurai Y, Mori Y, Okamoto H, Nishimura A, Komura E, Araki T, *et al.* Acid-inhibitory effects of vonoprazan 20 mg compared with esomeprazole 20 mg or rabeprazole 10 mg in healthy adult male subjects--a randomised open-label cross-over study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2015;42:719-30.

doi: 10.1111/apt.13325

[35] Savoldi A, Carrara E, Graham DY, Conti M, Tacconelli E. Prevalence of antibiotic resistance in *Helicobacter*  *pylori*: A systematic review and meta-analysis in world health organization regions. Gastroenterology 2018;155:1372-82.

doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.07.007

- [36] Villoria A, Garcia P, Calvet X, Gisbert JP, Vergara M. Meta-analysis: High-dose proton pump inhibitors vs. standard dose in triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2008;28:868-77. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2008.03807.x
- [37] Mégraud F, Graham DY, Howden CW, Trevino E, Weissfeld A, Hunt B, *et al.* Rates of antimicrobial resistance in *Helicobacter pylori* isolates from clinical trial patients across the US and Europe. Am J Gastroenterol 2023;118:269-75.

doi: 10.14309/ajg.000000000002045

[38] Lyu QJ, Pu QH, Zhong XF, Zhang J. Efficacy and safety of vonoprazan-based versus proton pump inhibitor-based

triple therapy for *Helicobacter pylori* eradication: A meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Biomed Res Int 2019;2019:9781212.

doi: 10.1155/2019/9781212

[39] Mahrous NL, Nasrelden E, Hassan M, Aboelmagd M. Efficacy of Vonoprazan-based triple therapy for cure of *H. Pylori* infection among patients attending GIT outpatient clinic at Suez Canal university hospital. Microbes Infect Dis 2023;4:506-13.

doi: 10.21608/mid.2023.191490.1459

[40] Furuta T, Yamade M, Kagami T, Uotani T, Suzuki T, Higuchi T, *et al.* Dual therapy with vonoprazan and amoxicillin is as effective as triple therapy with Vonoprazan, amoxicillin and clarithromycin for eradication of *Helicobacter pylori*. Digestion 2020;101:743-51.

doi: 10.1159/000502287

#### **Publisher's note**

AccScience Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.